	Application No.			Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ	09	9/676,475		RAJOTTE ET AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	E:	xaminer		Art Unit	
	М	arjorie A. Moran		1631	
All Participants:	S	Status of Applicat	ion:		
(1) <u>Marjorie A. Moran</u> .		(3)			
(2) <u>Astrid Spain</u> .		(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2003</u>	7	Гіте:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	representative)			
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: Enablement					
Claims discussed: 14-15					
Prior art documents discussed: None					
Part II.					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL	NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS I	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.		•			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The exa	miner will provide	a written	summary of t	the substance
11					
Mayoris a. Moron					
Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant/Appl	icant's Representa	itive Sign	ature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that as methods of gene therapy (generally) are not enabled, claim 14, reciting a "gene therapy vector" is not enabled. The examiner and Ms. Spain discussed changing the claims to recite a "therapeutic agent". Ms. Spain agreed to an examiner's amendment to put the claims in condition for allowance. Ms. Spain pointed to p. 14 of the specification for support for this amendment..